1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
2			
3			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	United States of America		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-cr-00137-JAM-2	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER	
13	v.	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
14	MYRA BOLECHE MINKS, DATE: September 17, 2024		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	1. On August 14, 2024, the Court reset this matter this matter for status on		
19	September 17, 2024.		
20	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the <b>status</b>		
21	conference until September 24, 2024, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between		
22	August 14, 2024, and September 24, 2024, under Local Codes T2 and T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the		
24	following:		
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with		
26	this case includes approximately 3,800 pages of reports, video and audio recordings		
27	and photographs. In addition, digital device extractions have been produced in		
$_{28}$	discovery and amount to approximately 10 TB of data. All of this discovery has		

10

9

12

11

1314

15

16

17

18

19

///

///

///

20

 $_{21} \| / \! / \! /$ 

22 | ///

23

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 ///

 $27 \parallel / / /$ 

28 | ///

been produced directly to counsel.

- b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review the discovery, discuss the case with the defendant, conduct investigation and research related to the criminal charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the aboverequested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of August 14, 2024, to September 24, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) [Local Code T2 and T4] because this is a complex case and it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## Case 2:22-cr-00137-JAM Document 154 Filed 09/13/24 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this stipu	ulation and order shall preclude a finding that other	
2	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from		
3	the period within which a trial must commence.		
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5			
6			
7	Dated: September 10, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8		/ / HICERLA I DD	
9		/s/ JUSTIN L. LEE JUSTIN L. LEE	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11	D + 1 C + 1 10 0004	/ / MODD I DD A C	
12	Dated: September 10, 2024	/s/ TODD LERAS TODD LERAS	
13		Counsel for Defendant Myra Minks	
14			
15			
16		ODDED	
17	ORDER		
18	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.		
19	Dated: September 13, 2024	/s/ John A. Mendez	
20		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
21		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			